IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	§	•
Jerry L. MIZELL et al.	§ 8	Confirmation No. 8303
Serial No.: 10/025,543	§ 8	Group Art Unit: 2616
Filed: December 18, 2001	§ 8	Examiner: Jay P. Patel
For: Node, Network, and Method for Providing	\$ §	
Quality of Service Adjustments on a Per-	§	
Application Basis	§	

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.131

We, Jerry L. Mizell and Peter W. Wenzel, declare and say:

- 1. We are co-inventors of the subject matter of the above-identified patent application as therein described and claimed.
- 2. Our third co-inventor, David J. Lauson, is deceased and is therefore unavailable to sign this declaration.
 - 3. All acts described in this declaration took place in the United States of America.
- 4. Prior to August 9, 2001, we first conceived of the invention claimed in the above-identified patent application. After collaboration with one another, on or about March 29, 2001, we disclosed this invention to Nortel's in-house patent attorneys, using the presentation provided in <u>Exhibit A</u>.
- 5. On or about August 24, 2001, Nortel hired the law firm of Munsch, Hardt, Kopf & Harr to prepare the above-identified patent application.
- 6. From on or about August 24, 2001, until the application was filed, we and our patent attorneys worked together to prepare the above-identified patent application.
- 7. On December 18, 2001, the patent application was filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- 8. At no time were our activities regarding disclosure of our invention in the above-identified patent application ever suspended. It was our constant and continuous intention to diligently move towards disclosure of our invention by filing the above-identified patent application.
- 9. Based on the foregoing facts, we believe that we conceived of the above-referenced invention prior to August 9, 2001, and that we were diligent in preparing the patent application for filing on December 18, 2001.

We declare that all statement made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

. Mizell


Date: 3/1/2008

Date: 3/11/2008

D-1626140_1.DOC

Nortel Networks Confidential & Privileged Information

Invention Disclosure Submission Reply



Inventors —


preference			WE TO SEE		
050560	HR Name: MIZELL, JERRY	Location:	2201 LAKESIDE BLVD	Address:	4429 RISINGHILL DR PLANO, TX
2	Known As: JERRY		RICHARDSON		UNITED STATES
	Email: mizell@amer		TEXAS 75082-		75024
	icasm01.nt.com	ģ.	4399 UNITED	Phone:	(972)2082519
	Mgr First Name: DAVE		STATES	1 2000	(>,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Mgr Last Name: LAUSON	Location Co	•		
	Mgr Global ID: 1199574	Dept:	2Q00		İ
	Mgr Global ID: 11993/4	Phone:	4448164		
			972 684 8164		i
	<u> </u>	Fax:	972 684 3034		
}		Ext Fax:	972 684 3034	ŧ	
1		MailStop:			
		Citizenship		i	
		Oluzezzz	STATES		
119957	HR Name: LAUSON,	Location:	2201 LAKESIDE	Address:	4806 VIRGINIA WOODS
4	DAVID J		BLVD		DR
"	Known As: DAVID		RICHARDSON	<u> </u>	MCKINNEY, TX
	Email:		TEXAS 75082-		UNITED STATES
	lausond@am		4399 UNITED	1	75070
	ericasm01.nt.com		STATES	Phone:	(972)4915710
	Mgr First Name:	Location C	ode: RJCH2		
	Mgr Last Name:	Dept:	2Q00		
	Mgr Global ID: 1047001	Phone:	4458610	Ì	
		Ext Phone:	972 685 8610		
		Fax:	444-3034		
	ľ	Ext Fax:	972 684 3034		
		MailStop:	99201C60	Ì	
		Citizenship		ļ	i
			STATES		
168377	HR Name: WENZEL,	Location:	2201 LAKESIDE	Address:	2900 OAK TREE DR
3	PETER W		BLVD		PLANO, TX
	Known As: PETE	Ì	RICHARDSON		UNITED STATES
	Email:		TEXAS 75082-		7502 5
	wenzel@ame		4399 UNITED	Phone:	(972)390922 5
	ricasm01.nt.com		STATES		
	Mgr First Name: STEVE		ode: RICH2		
	Mgr Last Name: CURRIN	Dept:	2Q00		İ
1	Mgr Global ID: 1199574	Phone:	4447134	{	
	:		(972) 684 7134	{	
		Fax:	(972) 685-3423	<u> </u>	

Nortel Networks Confidential & Privileged Information

Ext Fax: (972) 685-3423 MailStop: 99201E60 Cldzenship: UNITED	
STATES	*

____ Attachments ==___

<End of Attachments>



Legismen Giffnengimm

UMTS currently provides for Quality of Service (QoS) on the Gn interface (SGSN-GGSN) on a per PDP context basis. According to the standards, each mobile subscriber can initiate multiple PDP contexts with each context having its own associated QoS. This scheme has some short-comings:

The UMTS defined QoS is only applicable to the Gn interface

Berg receiption for a fine and re-

The standards assume that both the mobile terminal and the network will be capable of supporting multiple PDP contexts in order to provide differentiation in QoS among applications.

The standard assumes that all applications sharing a PDP context should receive the same QoS treatment.

This invention improves on the standardized methods by using application filtering. Within the GGSN, while processing data for a PDP context, a filter is applied to identify individual applications. The packets for each application are then marked with the appropriate DiffServ code points for that type of traffic. The DiffServ marking is used on the Gi interface for providing traffic differentiation. The DiffServ marking can also be applied on the Gu interface to provide traffic differentiation within the UMTS Core Network.

Nortel Networks Confidential & Privileged Information

This invention provides for extension of application-based QoS, which takes advantage of the IETF work on DiffScrv, onto a UMTS network. Application of this scheme is equally appropriate in both IPv4 and IPv6 networks.

Many early mobile terminals will support only one PDP context. Therefore they cannot take advantage of the QoS mechanisms provided by UMTS. This invention allows the operator to provide enhanced service for certain applications even for simple terminals.

This invention can be applied to GPRS (both Release 97 and Release 99) as well as UMTS.

By using this invention, the operator can provide traffic classification and QoS even for applications and terminals that are not QoS-aware. The UMTS standards assume that QoS operations are initiated by the terminal or mobile subscriber?s application. The standards, while providing for secondary PDP contexts, are not clear on how the secondary contexts work in practice. This lack of clarity leaves operations open to interpretation by both network and mobile vendors. This invention provides a rules based approach to QoS which need not be standardized further.

This invention provides for extension of application-based QoS, which takes advantage of the IETF work on DiffServ, onto a UMTS network. Application of this scheme is equally appropriate in both IPv4 and IPv6 networks.

Many early mobile terminals will support only one PDP context. Therefore they cannot take advantage of the QoS mechanisms provided by UMTS. This invention allows the operator to provide enhanced service for certain applications even for simple terminals.

This invention can be applied to GPRS (both Release 97 and Release 99) as well as UMTS.

By using this invention, the operator can provide traffic classification and QoS even for applications and terminals that are not QoS-aware. The UMTS standards assume that QoS operations are initiated by the terminal or mobile subscriber?s application. The standards, while providing for secondary PDP contexts, are not clear on how the secondary contexts work in practice. This lack of clarity leaves operations open to interpretation by both network and mobile vendors. This invention provides a rules based approach to QoS which need not be standardized further.

Section and in the property of

UMTS currently provides for Quality of Service (QoS) on the Gn interface (SGSN-GGSN) on a per PDP context basis. According to the standards, each mobile subscriber can initiate multiple PDP contexts with each context having its own associated QoS. This scheme has some short-comings:

The UMTS defined OoS is only applicable to the Gn interface

The standards assume that both the mobile terminal and the network will be capable of supporting multiple PDP contexts in order to provide differentiation in QoS among applications.

The standard assumes that all applications sharing a PDP context should receive the same QoS treatment.

This invention improves on the standardized methods by using application filtering. Within the GGSN, while processing data for a PDP context, a filter is applied to identify individual applications. The packets for each application are then marked with the appropriate DiffServ code points for that type of traffic. The DiffServ marking is used on the Gi interface for providing traffic differentiation. The DiffServ marking can also be applied on the Gn interface to provide traffic differentiation within the UMTS Core Network.

confirmation of the control of the c

This invention provides for extension of application-based QoS, which takes advantage of the IETF work on DiffServ, onto a UMTS network. Application of this scheme is equally appropriate in both IPv4 and IPv6 networks.

Many early mobile terminals will support only one PDP context. Therefore they cannot take advantage of the QoS mechanisms provided by UMTS. This invention allows the operator to provide enhanced service for certain applications even for simple terminals.

This invention can be applied to GPRS (both Release 97 and Release 99) as well as UMTS.

By using this invention, the operator can provide traffic classification and QoS even for applications and terminals that are not QoS-aware. The UMTS standards assume that QoS operations are initiated by the terminal or mobile subscriber?s application. The standards, while providing for secondary PDP contexts, are not clear on how the secondary contexts work in practice. This lack of clarity leaves operations open to interpretation by both network and mobile vendors. This invention provides a rules based approach to QoS which need not be standardized further.

Per Application Qos Adjustments

in UMTS 14413RR

Jerry Mizell Dave Lauson

Pete Wenzel

Per Application Qos Adjustments

- its own associated QoS. This scheme has some short-comings: UMTS currently provides for Quality of Service (QoS) on the Gn interface mobile subscriber can initiate multiple PDP contexts with each context having (SGSN-GGSN) on a per PDP context basis. According to the standards, each
- The UMTS defined QoS is only applicable to the Gn interface
- capable of supporting multiple PDP contexts in order to provide differentiation in The standards assume that both the mobile terminal and the network will be QoS among applications
- same QoS treatment. The standard assumes that all applications sharing a PDP context should receive the
- differentiation. The DiffServ marking can also be applied on the Gn interface applied to identify individual applications. The packets for each application are to provide traffic differentiation within the UMTS Core Network. then marked with the appropriate DiffServ code points for that type of traffic. filtering. Within the GGSN, while processing data for a PDP context, a filter is The DiffServ marking is used on the Gi interface for providing traffic This invention improves on the standardized methods by using application

Why is this Important?

- of this scheme is equally appropriate in both IPv4 and IPv6 networks advantage of the IETF work on DiffServ, onto a UMTS network. Application This invention provides for extension of application-based QoS, which takes
- applications even for simple terminals. Many early mobile terminals will support only one PDP context. Therefore they cannot take advantage of the QoS mechanisms provided by UMTS. This invention allows the operator to provide enhanced service for certain
- well as UMTS This invention can be applied to GPRS (both Release 97 and Release 99) as
- By using this invention, the operator can provide traffic classification and QoS subscriber's application standards assume that QoS operations are initiated by the terminal or mobile even for applications and terminals that are not QoS-aware. The UMTS
- operations open to interpretation by both network and mobile vendors. This standardized further. how the secondary contexts work in practice. This lack of clarity leaves invention provides a rules based approach to QoS which need not be The standards, while providing for secondary PDP contexts, are not clear on